

EXHIBIT C

**EXHIBITS TO/FROM MARC PANOFF
CITED AT SECTION II.G OF DEFENDANT’S RULE 29/33 MOTION**

GX #	Greebel Rule 33 Ex. #	Trial Tr. Cite	Document Type	Defendant’s Position on Document at Trial	Ultimate Basis for Admission
GX 601	Exhibit F	Tr. 7322-29	Email chain between Panoff and Greebel, with Greebel sending the top email	Initially, defense counsel stated “I don’t have an issue with this document” (Tr. 7322); subsequently, counsel recommended that the first sentence of Panoff’s email to Greebel be “redacted” (Tr. 7325-26).	Panoff’s statements not offered for the truth; offered to show the context in which Greebel was responding to Panoff’s email (Tr. 7329)
GX 608	Exhibit G	Tr. 7332	Email chain between Shkreli and Panoff, with Greebel cc’d, with Shkreli sending the top email	Defense counsel asked that the exhibit be admitted “subject to Your Honor’s ruling” in reference to discussion on GX 601 (Tr. 7332)	Panoff’s statements not offered for the truth; offered to show the context in which Shkreli was responding to Panoff’s email
GX 609	Exhibit H	Tr. 7370-71	Email chain between Panoff, Greebel and Shkreli, with Panoff sending the top email	No objection from defense counsel (Tr. 7371)	No specific discussion, but noted by government as a continuation of email chain in GX 609A (a statement of the defendant)
GX 609A	Exhibit I	Tr. 7369	Email from Greebel to Panoff	No objection from defense counsel (Tr. 7369)	No specific discussion, but a statement of the defendant

GX #	Greebel Rule 33 Ex. #	Trial Tr. Cite	Document Type	Defendant's Position on Document at Trial	Ultimate Basis for Admission
GX 610	Exhibit J	Tr. 7374-75	Email chain between Shkreli, Greebel and Panoff, with Greebel sending the top email	No objection from defense counsel (Tr. 7374)	No specific discussion, but a statement of the defendant
GX 691	Exhibit K	Tr. 7371-72	Email chain between Shkreli, Panoff and Greebel, with Shkreli sending the top email	No objection from defense counsel (Tr. 7372)	No specific discussion, but a statement of a co-conspirator (Shkreli) and a continuation of the email chain in GXs 609 and 609A
GX 612	Exhibit L	Tr. 7376	Email from Greebel to Panoff	No objection from defense counsel (Tr. 7376)	No specific discussion but a statement of the defendant
GX 641	Exhibit M	Tr. 7382	Email from Greebel to Panoff	No objection from defense counsel (Tr. 7382)	No specific discussion but a statement of the defendant
GX 627	Exhibit N	Tr. 7377-78	Email chain between Hackert, Panoff and Greebel, with Greebel sending the top email	Defense counsel objected to hearsay statements from Hackert at "bottom of page one" of exhibit (Tr. 7377-78); did not object to statements from Panoff	Court ruled that Hackert's statements "give[] context, background and explanation to the ... other parties' understandings and responses" and "explains the effect of this email on the other recipients" (Tr. 7378)

GX #	Greebel Rule 33 Ex. #	Trial Tr. Cite	Document Type	Defendant's Position on Document at Trial	Ultimate Basis for Admission
GX 692	Exhibit O	Tr. 7371-72	Email chain between Shkreli, Panoff and Greebel, with Shkreli on the top email	No objection from defense counsel (Tr. 7372)	No specific discussion, but a statement of a co-conspirator (Shkreli) and a continuation of GXs 609 and 609A
GX 694	Exhibit P	Tr. 7380	Email chain between Greebel and Panoff with Panoff on the top email	No objection from defense counsel (Tr. 7380)	No specific discussion, but noted by government as a continuation of GX 627
GX 690	Exhibit Q	Tr. 7324-25	Email chain between Panoff and Greebel, with Panoff on the top email and emails with Standard Registrar at the bottom of the chain	Objection "for hearsay reasons" (Tr. 7235)	Court admitted the exhibit and advised the jury that the emails from Standard Registrar "are for completeness and to give you background and understanding as to the context of the communications between the other individuals who are emailing" (Tr. 7235)